

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

Pol 4-16(48849) 1916-17
(Police)

Later references.

Reserve

P12

File No. 488-16

Serial Nos. 1 to 5

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 5

Part B for August 1917

SUBJECT.

Telegram from Narasimhabhatta, Complains that the Deputy Commis has permitted Murgiswamy procession with Nandidhwaja against Mamel in Chickmagalur Fort.

5) To Narasimha Bhatta
Chickmagalur

No J 602 of 21. 8. 1917

Informs That Government see no reason to interfere in the matter.

To The District Magistrate

No J 603 of 21. 8. 17
Haver Dist.

W D 88-GPB-50,000-24-7-18

Mrd Akbar Rahman
31. 8. 17 Akbar

Forwarded Copy of the
above

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Police)

NOTES.

File No. 188

FILE HEADING.

*Murji swami procession with Nandithwaji
at Chikmagalur.*

From

*Narasimhaiah*No. *Tel*Dated *16.5.17*Received *21.5.17*Diary No. *72516*

Enclos. /

Spare Copies. . .

Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

*Complains that the Dy. Commr. has permitted
Murji swami procession with Nandithwaji against
mandate in Chikmagalur fort.*

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Sub A

*Subd. with a draft calling for a
report in the matter.*

*13.5.
21.5.17 Hll.*

*Done
21.5.17*

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Police File No. 188 of 1916-17

Serial
No.

2

To The D. C. Karm DC. no. J 4320 of 26-5-17

Calls for an early report.

3

From The Private Sec. to H.H. The Maharaja

no. 4413 of 19-5-17

Forwards for disposal a copy of the same telegram.

3) Subd. The report called for in the matter may be awaited.

B.H.
29.5.17
N.H.S.
27/5

4

From The Dy. Commr. Karm DC. no. H.O.C 1382-16-17

D/ 30.5.17

Submits a report in the case with the records in the case.

4) Subd. it will be seen from the above that the Muzji Swami is entitled to use Nandidhwaji during processions & that therefore the petitioners' objection was overruled.

B.H.
1.6.17
N.H.

5) Please put up a copy of the Rahdari issued in 1909 referred to in the Dy. Magistrate's letter.

S.
10/6

Subd. as this is not available here.

Subd. as this is not available here.

B.H.
10/6
N.H.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 188 of 1916-17

Serial
No.

769

6) Sudd. Muzrai file of 1897-98 received today from that Branch containing the paper required is put up.

At.
12.8.17

769

768

7) The question for consideration in this case is whether the Muzrai Swami is not entitled to go in procession in the street of Chikamagalur with the Nandidwaja. His Highness has recognised Nandidwaja as an insignia which the Swami is entitled to use in his procession in the State, in the Rahdari issued to him in 29.6.1907. The effect of such a Rahdari has been clearly explained in paragraph 8 of the Court's order No 450-9 Muzrai 65-88-9 of 12.12.1908. His Honour states that "the Rahdari is conclusive evidence of the right of the Mutt to go in procession with the insignia along public streets except to the extent to which such right may be in conflict with the express orders of the Court or judicial decisions regarding the rights of the Community."

8) Thus the rights of the Mutt are clearly established from the Rahdari issued under the orders of His Highness. The petitioner appellant admits this no doubt, but says that it is in conflict with the judicial

2595

(4)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Police File No. 188 of 1916-17

46 J

Serial
No.

of the Magistrate in 1901 in regard to this very point. A perusal of the copy of the order of the Magistrate filed with the Appeal memo clearly shows that the Magistrate found it unnecessary to decide the point as the procession had already been conducted. He only gave a warning that in future to avoid a breach of the peace the party ^{would} be well advised to obtain a license. This is a caution addressed to the party and does not decide any right. The orders in such cases by the Magistrate are intended only to prevent breach of the peace and do not decide the right of either party conclusively. It is the civil court that decides such rights. It seems, therefore, that the grounds urged by the appellant for the cancellation of the order of the Magistrate are untenable. The petition has been rejected, and it does not seem necessary to hear the Advocate.

9) Submitted for order.

8
18/8


Dist. Member

10) agree. A note is being sent to the District Officer.

under the 6th rule

14/8

seen

11) 

627
15/8

2595

(5)

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Police File No. 188 of 1916/7

Serial
No.

(12) Subd. with a draft.

Inue
68.17.8

S To,

Narasimha Bhatta

Chikmagalur.

J 602

21-8-17

Informs that Goud See no reason
to interfere in the matter.

To, The St. Ingle

Kadur St.

J 603
Forwards Copy of the above

C.

INDIAN

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
TELEGRAPHS.

16-10-17

NOTICE.


This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Date of Rec. Charges to pay

Rs.

Petn. Regr. No.

FILE



Handed in at (Office of Origin),

Chikmagalur 16

Dy.

Hour

Minutes

15 45

Service Instructions.

Rtn Rdla

Words

40

TO

REPLY PAID DEWAN OOTACAMUND

Recd. here at

from Reg

M.

FERNHILL NILGIRIS...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ORDERED MURAGI SWAMI PROCESSION WITH
 NANADIDHWAJA THIS EVENING IN FORT AGAINST LOCAL MAMUL DECIDED
 ININDICIAL COURT PRAY ORDERS TO STOP NANDIDHWAJA PENDING
 DECISION PETITION FOLLOWS

--NARASIMMABHATTA--

GEN. & REV. SEC. ETARIAT.
TYPING SEC.
22 MAY 1917

(7)

f 4320 Encl 1

Memo. Police-102
26.5.17

The accompanying copy

of a telegram dated the
16th May 1917 from an

Narasimhabhatta of

Chikmagalur complaining

that ~~the~~ Murajawani

Sy M^{rs} G. Sannur procession with Nandioliwaj

Dy^r

has been permitted against

orders in the fort is

forwarded to the Dy. Comm.

Madras A.C. for early
report.

By Order

Encls

For Secy to Govt.

26.5.17

22.5.17

with and copy
22/5

92542
188-16
Police
3

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

19. 5. 1917

No. 4413

11/1

186-45
GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
III
JUDICIAL
23 MAY 1917

Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is

transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the

Maharaja of Mysore, General Department, Bangalore, for

his perusal.

No. 1088 of 16-17

By Order,

Satyajit Chik

Private Secretary


to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Pol 188-16
Encl 3 (9)

C.

42

POSTS TELEGRAPHS.



NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay.

Re.

Ad.

Service Instructions.

Words.

Sent in at (Office of Origin). <i>Chikungpur</i>	Day <i>18</i>	Hour <i>15</i>	Minute <i>55</i>	Recd. here at <i>10</i>	H. <i>10</i>	M. <i>10</i>
---	------------------	-------------------	---------------------	----------------------------	-----------------	-----------------

TO Reply Order

H H the maharaja of Mysore my son

Deputy Commissioner ordered to merge Sany
procession with nandiahaja this evening in
for against local. matter decided in judicial
Court - pray orders to stop nandiahaja pending
decision. decision petition follows -

N.B. - The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.
Raj Chand & Sons, Calcutta

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District.

Dated Chikmagalur, 30th May 1917.

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A.,

Assistant Commissioner in District charge,

Kadur District.

To

D.M. Narasinga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General Department,

Bangalore.

DIARY No. 2595

10/6/17
SECTION Police
FILE NO. 188-16
SERIAL 4

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No. J.4320/Pol.188-16-2, dated 26th May 1917, forwarding for early report copy of a telegram dated 16th May 1917, addressed to the Dewan, by one Narasimhabatta, in the matter of permission granted to the Swamy of Murgi Matt to take Nandidhwaja during his procession in Chikmagalur Fort, I have the honour to state that Siddan^{Naik}aghatta, Narasimhabhatta and others presented a petition to the District Magistrate, Kadur District, on the 16th instant, praying for orders prohibiting the use of Nandidhwaja during the procession of Murgi Matt Swami in Chikmagalur Fort. It was taken on the file of the District Magistrate as Miscellaneous case No.36 of 1916-17 and orders were passed after hearing both the petitioners and counterpetitioners to the effect that "the petitioners' objection to the use of Nandidhwaja by the Swamy of the Murgi Matt during the procession through the Fort in Chikmagalur town could not be upheld on the ground that in the orders of 1854 and 1858 quoted by the petitioners the right of the Murgi Matt to go in procession with the insignias mentioned in the Rahadari issued by Government on the 29th June 1907 and also in the Chief ~~Saxt~~ Commissioner's Rahadari No.3 of 1869-70 was not in question".

2

The entire file of records bearing on the subject is also herewith submitted for kind reference and return when no longer required.

P.3. *Plly*

191

From

The Assistant Commissioner
in District Charge,
Kadur District

To

The Secretary to Govt
General Dept
Bangalore

Dated 30-5-17

Despatched 31-5-17

Received

General No. H.O. C. 1382

No.

16-17

Enclosure No. One file.

Submitting report in the
matter of permission granted
to the Swamy of Murgji Math
to take his Nandidhwaaja
during his procession in
Chikamagalur Fort.

225/10
GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
III
JUDICIAL
5 JUN 1917


H

3. I beg to add that in the Rahadari issued by Government to the Swami on 29th June 1907, one Nandidhwaja is allowed as one of his insignias. So the petitioners' objection has been overruled.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Assistant Commissioner in

District charge,

Shd Kadur District.

D.S.R

(12)

14/17/17

J 603 (Encl)

16-188-16-5

Enclosure forwarded to Govt. Narasimhaiah
 Fort, Chikmagalur in reply to his petition
 registered no. J 2545-2720 ^{appealing} ~~appealing~~ against the
 order of the D.C. Mysr. of the Kader St.
 permitting the procession of the Swami of the
 Shajimall with Nandibhaji in the Fort at
 Chikmagalur telegrams in the matter.

The petitioner is informed that
 Govt. see no reason to interfere in the
 matter.

The enclosures received with his petition
 as also the reply vouchers received with his
 telegrams are retained herewith

By Order

Sd/-

For Secy. to Govt.

Sl. Dpt.

16-8-17

J 603 (Records)

21.8.17.

Copy to the D.C. Mysr. Kader St. with

reference to his letter no. H.O.C. 1352-16-17 of

30-5-17 returning the records.

By Order

Sd/-

For Secy. to Govt.
Sl. Dpt.

16-8-17